

PTO/S8/97 (08-00)

Approved for use through 10/31/2002. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it contains a valid OMB control number.

Certificate of Transmission under 37 CFR 1.8

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the Patent and Trademark Office

on April 4, 2001.

Date

Gary D. Fedorochko at (202) 508-9223

Typed or printed name of person signing Certificate

Note: Each paper must have its own certificate of transmission, or this certificate must identify each submitted paper.

Petition under 37 CFR 1.181(or 1.183

Request For Oral Hearing

Reply Brief

Fee Transmittal for FY 2001

Transmittal Form

Papers being faxed to Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences at (703) 308-7952

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

In re Application of:		AIL ROOM)	FILED BY FAX (703) 308-7952 TO BOARD
	Shigeru ATSUMI)	BOD 18
Serial No.	09/028,276)	Examiner: Jesse A. Fenty
	Filed: February 24, 1998)	Group Art Unit: 2815
	IICONDUCTOR INTEGRATED CIRC	CUIT)	Atty Dkt No. 1701.73982

PETITION UNDER 37 CFR § 1.181, § 1.182 AND/OR § 1.183

Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

Appellant hereby petitions the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences and/or the Commissioner, pursuant to 37 CFR § 1.181, § 1.182 and/or § 1.183 for entry of the attached Reply Brief and Request For Oral Hearing, or in the alternative for entry of the Request For Oral Hearing.

The office is authorized to charge the fee of \$130.00 under 37 CFR § 1.17(h) to our Deposit Account No. 19-0733. In the event of a deficiency in fees or an overpayment, the office is authorized to charge or credit deposit account 19-0733. In the event that the instant petition is granted the office is authorized to charge any other required fees, such as the fee for a Request For Oral Hearing pursuant to 37 CFR § 1.17(d).

1. FACTS

In the above-referenced application, appellants filed an Appeal Brief on October 17, 2000. In response thereto, the Examiner prepared and mailed an Examiner's Answer on January 4, 2001.

Appellant's representatives including the attorney responsible for the application and docketing

Atsumi -- Serial No. 09/028,276

personnel mis-docketed the final due date for a response thereto and believed that a Reply Brief was due within two months of the date of the Examiner's Answer with extensions, up to four months (statutory period) typically available under 37 CFR § 1 136(a) for other types of responses. Appellant's representatives have replaced a number of personnel in their docketing department since the original docketing of the matter. Subsequently, on April 4, 2001, during the course of preparing a Reply Brief and a Petition For One Month of Extension of Time with the intent of filling the same today, April 4, 2001, appellant's representatives discovered that no extensions were available for filling a Reply Brief and/or a Request For Oral Hearing in response to the Examiner's Answer. Hence, appellants discovered that the period for filing a Reply Brief and a Request For Oral Hearing pursuant to 37 CFR §§ 1.193 and 1.194 had lapsed.

Appellant's representatives have fully investigated the matter and submit that the error in docketing and failure to recognize that the optional filing of a Reply Brief and Request For Oral Hearing was due, without extension pursuant to 37 CFR § 1.136(a), occurred without deceptive intent.

2. RELIEF REQUESTED

Appellant hereby requests the Commissioner and/or Board to enter the Reply Brief and Request For Oral Hearing, or in the alternative, merely the Request For Oral Hearing pursuant to any one of 37 CFR §§ 1.181, 1.182 or 1.183. In this light, appellant hereby authorizes the office to charge deposit account no 19-0733 a fee for a petition for one-month extension of time if the office believes that is necessary to facilitate granting of the requested relief. Appellant notes that entry of

Atsumi -- Serial No. 09/028,276

the Request For Oral Hearing is a minimum burden of the Commissioner and/or Board in that the Board will need to consider appellant's appeal regardless. Appellant believes that an Oral Hearing will benefit the Board in disposing of the matter.

Respectfully submitted,

Joseph M. Fotenza Registration No. 28,175

Dated: April 4, 2001

BANNER & WITCOFF, LTD 1001 G Street, N.W., 11th Floor Washington, D.C. 20001

(202) 508-9100